

	Percent
<i>For Physical Damage:</i>	
Homeowners with Credit Available Elsewhere	5.750
Homeowners without Credit Available Elsewhere	2.875
Businesses with Credit Available Elsewhere	8.000
Businesses without Credit Available Elsewhere	4.000
Private Non-Profit Organizations with Credit Available Elsewhere	3.625
Private Non-Profit Organizations without Credit Available Elsewhere	3.625
<i>For Economic Injury:</i>	
Business and Small Agricultural Cooperatives without Credit Available Elsewhere	4.000
Private Non-Profit Organizations without Credit Available Elsewhere	3.625

The number assigned to this disaster for physical damage is 215985 and for economic injury is 215990.

The state which received an SBA Administrative declaration is Georgia.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 59008)

(Authority: 13 CFR 123.3(b).)

James Stallings,

Associate Administrator, Office of Disaster Recovery & Resilience.

[FR Doc. 2026-10881 Filed 5-29-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8026-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

[Docket No. FHWA-2026-0562]

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Section 2 of the Mid-States Corridor Project: Tier 2 National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), in coordination with the Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) is issuing this Notice of Intent (NOI) to solicit comment and advise the public, agencies, tribal nations, and stakeholders that a Tier 2 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared for the Mid-States Corridor (MSC), Section 2. This will be a new highway connection between Interstate 64 (I-64) at Dale and State Road (SR) 56 in Haysville in Dubois

County, Indiana. FHWA and INDOT completed the Tier 1 Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and Record of Decision (ROD) in September 2023. The Tier 1 EIS process addressed needs for improved business and personal regional connectivity in Dubois County and Southern Indiana, and for improved highway connections to existing major multi-modal locations in Southern Indiana. The Tier 1 FEIS and ROD identified Refined Preferred Alternative P (RPA P) as the selected corridor and divided it into five Sections of Independent Utility. This Tier 2 EIS will address Section of Independent Utility 2 and builds on the Tier 1 EIS and ROD.

DATES: Comments on the NOI or the NOI Additional Project Information Document must be received on or before July 1, 2026.

ADDRESSES: This NOI and the NOI Additional Project Information Document are available in the docket referenced above at

www.regulations.gov and on the project website located at

www.midstatescorridor.com/Notice-of-Intent-Resources. The NOI Additional Project Information Document will be mailed upon request. All interested parties are invited to submit comments or requests for mailed documents by any of the following methods:

- **Website:** For access to the documents, or to request mailed documents, go to the docket referenced above at www.regulations.gov.

- **Address for U.S. Mail, delivery service, or hand delivery:** Mid-States Corridor Project Office, Vincennes University Jasper Campus Administration Building, Room 216, 850 College Avenue, Jasper, IN 47546.

All comment submissions should include the agency, public, tribal nation, or stakeholder name. It also should include the agency name and docket number that appears in the heading of this notice. All comments received by the comment period end date will be posted without change to www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. A summary of the comments received will be included in the Draft EIS (DEIS).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

FHWA: Erica Tait, Interim Deputy Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, Indiana Division; 575 N Pennsylvania Street, Suite 254, Indianapolis, IN 46204. *Telephone* (317) 226-7481. *Email:* erica.tait@dot.gov. **INDOT:** Kyanna Wheeler, Major Project Delivery Project Manager, Indiana Department of Transportation; Indiana Government

Center North, 100 N Senate Ave., Room N758-MPD, Indianapolis, IN 46204. *Telephone* (812) 830-2300. *Email:* kwheeler@indot.in.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Additional Project Information

Persons and agencies who may be interested in or affected by the proposed project are encouraged to comment on the information in this NOI and the NOI Additional Project Information Document. All comments received in response to this NOI document will be considered in preparation of the EIS for this project.

The EIS will be prepared in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321, *et seq.*), 23 U.S.C. 139, FHWA regulations implementing NEPA (23 CFR part 771), recent USDOT Guidance and all other applicable Federal, State, and local laws and regulations.

Project Background

Tier 2 activities in Section 2 began in July 2024. These have featured extensive agency and public involvement activities, including formal Cooperating and Participating Agency meetings in October 2024, April 2025, and October 2025, and formal public information meetings in September 2024, April 2025, and October 2025. Formal meetings with local officials and the project's Community Advisory Committee were held in conjunction with the public information meetings.

Additional meetings also occurred with project stakeholders and members of the public and agencies. Many of these meetings occurred at the project office at the Vincennes University Jasper Campus.

Input from agencies, elected officials, stakeholders, and the public informed the development of preliminary project documents, including a Project Coordination Plan, Public Involvement Plan, Purpose and Need, and Screening of Preliminary Alternatives. These documents are summarized in this NOI. Please refer to the Additional Project Information Document for detailed information.

Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action

The Purpose and Need of the MSC, as established in Tier 1, is to improve business and personal regional connectivity in Dubois County and Southern Indiana and to improve highway connections to existing major multi-modal locations in Southern Indiana. The Tier 2 Purpose and Need for the project in Section 2 refines the

Purpose and Need established in Tier 1. The Tier 2 Purpose and Need describes the project purpose as providing improved system linkages from Dubois County to major business destinations and freight intermodal centers, improving employee access to Dubois County businesses, increasing the efficiency of freight operations, and completing Section 2 of the MSC, consistent with the Tier 1 Record of Decision. It provides goals and performance measures which refine those in the Tier 1 Purpose and Need, focusing on accessibility and transportation needs in Dubois County.

Preliminary Description of the Proposed Action and Alternatives the Environmental Impact Statement Will Consider

The proposed project would construct a new south-to-north arterial road between I-64 at Dale and SR 56 at Haysville in Dubois County, Indiana. The EIS will evaluate a range of Build alternatives, consistent with the decision of the Tier 1 ROD. These alternatives are discussed in the Screening of Preliminary Alternatives in the Additional Project Information Document. In this Tier 2 study, INDOT developed 12 preliminary build alternatives, approximately 23 miles in length. They are designated Alternative 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A, and 3B with each including two highway type options. These are located within a 2,000-foot corridor established in Tier 1. From these, the Screening of Preliminary Alternatives identified Alternatives 2B and 3B as alternatives to be carried forward into the Draft EIS (DEIS). It is anticipated that the DEIS preferred alternative will contain elements and modifications of both Alternative 2B and Alternative 3B. The DEIS will identify the location and type of access for the preferred alternative.

Brief Summary of Expected Effects

The Tier 2 EIS will evaluate the potential social, economic, and environmental impacts resulting from the implementation of the build alternatives. The following resources are anticipated to be evaluated in detail during the environmental review process: waters of the United States, biological resources, hydrology, floodplains, cultural and historic resources, land use, forests, managed lands, socioeconomics, and farmlands. Additionally, the EIS will also investigate impacts to the following resources: wildlife and habitat connectivity, Section 4(f), noise, economy, groundwater, air quality, hazardous waste sites, and mineral

resources. Details regarding key resources are provided in the Screening of Preliminary Alternatives Report. The Screening of Preliminary Alternatives Report describes the anticipated levels of impacts to these resources. Alternatives evaluated in the Report showed the following ranges of major resource impacts:

- *Right-of-Way*. 1,462 to 1,596 acres.
- *Agricultural Land*. 869 to 935 acres.
- *Total Relocations*. 41 to 82 buildings (Residential, Commercial, Industrial, Agricultural, and Public Use).
- *Wetlands*. 55 to 90 acres.
- *Non-Wetland Forests*. 327 to 362 acres.

The Impact Calculation Appendix to the Screening of Preliminary Alternatives Report describes impact calculation methodologies as well as field survey activities to identify and confirm the extent of resources.

Anticipated Permits, Other Authorizations and Cooperating and Participating Agencies

Anticipated permits and authorizations that will be required prior to the commencement of construction include the following. The schedule for these activities is shown below, which is also reflected in the Project Coordination Plan. All references are to the Tier 2 ROD for Section 2:

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) approval under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (Received following approval of ROD)
- State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (Completed prior to ROD)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) approvals under the Endangered Species Act, the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, and Migratory Bird Treaty Act (Received prior to ROD)
- Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) approval under the Farmland Protection Policy Act (Received prior to DEIS; confirmed in FEIS)
- Indiana Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) approval under the Indiana Flood Control Act (Received within 15 days of ROD)
- Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) under the Indiana Isolated Wetlands Act (Completed after ROD)
- IDEM under Clean Water Act Section 401 water quality certification (Completed after ROD) and

- IDEM National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit under Section 402 (Received within 15 days of ROD)

Cooperating Agencies include USFWS, USACE, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. A number of Federal, State, and local agencies are serving as Participating Agencies. INDOT and FHWA will confer with them at key project milestones and as required between these milestones.

The Project Coordination Plan accompanying the Additional Project Information Document outlines the process the FHWA and the INDOT will follow to complete the Mid-States Corridor Tier 2 EIS for Section 2 in Dubois County. This plan will satisfy 23 U.S.C. 139 of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act of 2015 which requires a plan for this purpose.

Scoping and Public Review

The Public Involvement Plan accompanying the Additional Project Information Document describes public involvement goals, methods for stakeholder communications, public information database management, messaging, and outreach tools. It describes the public involvement coordination process, including community and media outreach.

Since June 2024 there has been extensive public and agency outreach regarding the scope of the project, its purpose and need and potential alternatives. There have been three general public information meetings. A Draft Purpose and Need Statement and Draft Screening of Preliminary Alternative Reports have been circulated. Extensive public and agency comments were received on both documents.

Schedule for the Decision-Making Process

Based on project activities conducted to date, a Draft EIS is anticipated to be released in the fall of 2026, with a combined FEIS and Record of Decision approved in the summer of 2027.

Request for Identification of Potential Alternatives, Information, and Analyses Relevant to the Proposed Action

All public comments received in response to this notice will be considered, and potential revisions will be made to the information presented herein as appropriate. Comments must be received by July 1, 2026. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action, including the comments relating to the Tier 2 EIS alternatives, information and analyses, should be

provided to FHWA or INDOT at the addresses provided in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

Authority

42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*; 23 U.S.C. 139; 23 CFR part 771.

Christopher J. Hall,

Interim Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration.

[FR Doc. 2026–10919 Filed 5–29–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA–2025–1381]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Approval of a New Information Collection Request: Commercial Motor Vehicle Marking Requirements

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, FMCSA announces its plan to submit the Information Collection Request (ICR) described below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. The ICR will enable FMCSA to continue documenting the burden associated with the commercial motor vehicle (CMV) marking regulations. These regulations require marking of self-propelled CMVs and intermodal equipment by motor carriers, freight forwarders, and intermodal equipment providers (IEPs) engaging in interstate transportation and motor carriers that transport hazardous materials in intrastate transportation subject to the Hazardous Materials Safety Permit (HMSP) rules. Two comments were received in response to the 60-day **Federal Register** publication.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received on or before July 1, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be submitted within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stacy Ropp, Compliance Division, DOT, FMCSA, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590–0001; 609–661–2062; Stacy.Ropp@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Commercial Motor Vehicle Marking Requirements.

OMB Control Number: 2126–0054.

Type of Request: Renewal of a currently approved ICR.

Respondents: Freight-carrying commercial motor carriers, passenger-carrying commercial motor carriers, and intermodal equipment providers.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 938,861 total respondents (900,043 freight-carrying motor carriers; 20,878 intrastate hazardous materials transporting motor carriers; 16,409 passenger-carrying motor carriers; and 1,531 IEPs).

Estimated Time per Response: 26 minutes [12 minutes to affix USDOT Number + 14 minutes for affixing a carrier’s name].

Expiration Date: July 31, 2026.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 4,687,689 total hours (3,228,623 hours spent by freight-carrying motor carriers; 225,725 hours spent by intrastate hazardous materials transporting motor carriers; 58,375 hours spent by passenger-carrying motor carriers; and 1,174,966 hours spent by IEPs). All these entities spent these hours marking their CMVs with a USDOT number and motor carrier information.

Background

The Secretary of Transportation (Secretary) is authorized to require marking of vehicles and intermodal equipment by motor carriers, freight forwarders and IEPs engaging in interstate transportation based on the authority of 49 U.S.C. 31133(a)(8), 31133(a)(10), and 31136(a)(1). The Secretary delegated authority pertaining to the marking of CMVs to the Administrator of FMCSA in 49 CFR 1.87(f). The Agency’s regulations governing the marking of CMVs is codified at 49 CFR 390.21T and 49 CFR 390.21 for motor carriers, freight forwarders, and IEPs engaging in interstate transportation and at 49 CFR 390.3T(g)(4) and 49 CFR 390.3(g)(4) for motor carriers that transport hazardous materials in intrastate transportation subject to the HMSP program under 49 CFR part 385.

Vehicle marking requirements are intended to ensure that FMCSA, the National Transportation Safety Board, and State safety officials can identify motor carriers and correctly assign responsibility for regulatory violations

during inspections, investigations, compliance reviews, and crash studies. These marking requirements also provide the public with beneficial information that could assist in identifying carriers engaged in interstate commerce and for complaints or emergency notification. The marking requirements apply to motor carriers, freight forwarders, and IEPs engaging in interstate transportation and motor carriers that transport hazardous materials in intrastate transportation subject to the HMSP program under 49 CFR part 385. The Agency does not require a specific method of marking if the marking complies with FMCSA’s regulations. The decrease of 2,509,249 estimated annual burden hours (7,196,938 approved estimated annual burden hours—4,687,689 proposed estimated annual burden hours) is due to adjustments in respondent and response estimates.

Two comments were received in response to the 60-day **Federal Register** publication (Jan. 21, 2026, 91 FR 2585). One commenter suggested adding a “current carrier” field to either a driver’s license or license plate and asked which option would be easiest to access during inspections, noting that driver’s license information might be the most practical.

A second commenter, from FreightValidate and Truckstop.com, supported renewing FMCSA’s vehicle marking requirements, stating they are a simple, low-cost way to enhance safety, accountability, and fraud prevention by clearly linking vehicles to their responsible carriers. They noted that visible carrier names and USDOT numbers help verify legitimacy in an environment where fraud and impersonation are increasing, and they encourage updated guidance to reflect modern operations, including scalable marking standards for smaller vehicles like vans. They also recommend adding unit-level identifiers, such as a unit number or partial vehicle identification number, near USDOT markings to further reduce equipment misrepresentation and improve verification.

FMCSA response: The suggestions made by the commenters relate to the underlying regulations, and not specifically to this collection of information. FMCSA may consider these comments in future rulemaking changes impacting CMV marking requirements.

Public comments invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including: (1) whether the proposed collection is necessary for the performance of